



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/607,084

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. ~~Monte~~ Shuji Yoshizaki (applicant's Rep) (3)
(2) E. Saether (PTO) (4)

Date of interview 8-4-04

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☒ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: Tree Nut and process of how its made

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Proposed claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Rep,

argued combo of Leister and Vermillion would not be proper based on how they were made. Examiner indicated that even if the combo was improper, due to the amount of other prior art the claims would probably still be rejected. Agree the prior art did not appear to show the bottom seating feature as shown as a result of the manufacture.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature

**BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

Shuji Yoshizaki is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR §10.9(b) as an employee of Westerman Hattori Daniels & Adrian, LLP. to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is the client of Westerman Hattori Daniels & Adrian, LLP., and the attorney or agent of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of Westerman Hattori Daniels & Adrian, LLP. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Shuji Yoshizaki ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Shuji Yoshizaki's employment with Westerman Hattori Daniels & Adrian, LLP. ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Shuji Yoshizaki ceases to remain or reside in the United States on an H-1B visa.

This document constitutes proof of such recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Expires: July 7, 2007



Harry I. Moatz

Director of Enrollment and Discipline